



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

fw

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,791	12/15/2005	Anders Angelhag	9564-8	3015
20792	7590	12/08/2006	EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC				BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M
PO BOX 37428				ART UNIT
RALEIGH, NC 27627				PAPER NUMBER
				2617

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,791	ANGELHAG, ANDERS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher M. Brandt	2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-36, 38-41 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-36 and 38-41 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/13/06 & 10/30/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on October 30, 2006. **Claims 1-36, 38-41** are still pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-13 of Applicant's Remarks, filed on October 30, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-41 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cannon et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0032460 A1) in view of Diaz et al. (US Patent 6,675,006 B1) with regards to claims 1-10, 19-36, 38-41. In addition, a new ground of rejection is made in view of Cannon et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0032460) in view of Kinnunen (US PGPUB 2002/0173347 A1) with regards to claims 11-18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 2617

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-10, 19-36, 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Cannon et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0032460 A1)** in view of **Diaz et al. (US Patent 6,675,006 B1)**.

Consider **claim 1**. Cannon et al. (hereinafter Cannon) disclose a method of controlling connection between a plurality of connectable devices (paragraph 22, read as a wireless multi-user hands-free gateway capable of wireless operation with any of a plurality of wireless phones), the method comprising:

associating indications with a first device having a predetermined identity and being connectable to a second device; and

outputting said indications in a manner that is observable by a user in response to said first device being selected for connection to said second device (paragraph 46, read as it is preferred that there be a clear indication presented to the wireless multi-user hands-free unit of which in-range user is the driver such that the wireless multi-user hands-free gateway 100 will properly provide hands-free capabilities to the desired wireless phone 120. It is noted that first device is read as the wireless phone 120 and the second device is read as the wireless multi-user hands free gateway 100).

Although, Cannon discloses the claimed invention, Cannon does not explicitly teach individual indicia.

However, Diaz et al. (hereinafter Diaz) teach individual indicia (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, read as a first color and a second color turned on in response to different connections).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Diaz into the invention was of Cannon in order to enable the user to confirm whether a connection has been completely established upon operation (Diaz; column 3 lines 6-12).

Consider **claim 33**. Cannon discloses an apparatus for controlling connection between a plurality of connectable devices, said apparatus being adapted to defining connectability parameters for connecting a first device having a predetermined identity to a second device (paragraphs 22 and 39, read as a wireless multi-user hands-free gateway capable of wireless operation with any of a plurality of wireless phones, where a vehicle control panel which, once trained with appropriate phone IDs such that their wireless phone is give usage of the vehicle's wireless multi-user hands-free unit.), the apparatus comprising:

a device operable to associate indications to said first device; and
an output device operable to output said indications in a manner that is observable by a user when said first device is selected for connection to said second device (paragraph 46, read as it is preferred that there be a clear indication presented to the wireless multi-user hands-free unit of which in-range user is the driver such that the wireless multi-user hands-free gateway 100 will properly provide hands-free capabilities to the desired wireless phone 120. It is noted that first device is read as the wireless phone 120 and the second device is read as the wireless multi-user hands free gateway 100).

Although, Cannon discloses the claimed invention, Cannon does not explicitly teach individual indicia.

However, Diaz et al. (hereinafter Diaz) teach individual indicia (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, read as a first color and a second color turned on in response to different connections).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Diaz into the invention was of Cannon in order to enable the user to confirm whether a connection has been completely established upon operation (Diaz; column 3 lines 6-12).

Consider **claim 38**. Cannon discloses a method of controlling connection between a plurality of telephone devices and a hands free device (paragraph 22, read as a wireless multi-user hands-free gateway capable of wireless operation with any of a plurality of wireless phones), the method comprising:

associating indications with an identifiable telephone device; and
outputting said indications from said hands free device in response to said identifiable telephone device being selected for connection to said hands free device (paragraph 46, read as it is preferred that there be a clear indication presented to the wireless multi-user hands-free unit of which in-range user is the driver such that the wireless multi-user hands-free gateway 100 will properly provide hands-free capabilities to the desired wireless phone 120).

Although, Cannon discloses the claimed invention, Cannon does not explicitly teach individual indicia.

However, Diaz et al. (hereinafter Diaz) teach individual indicia (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, read as a first color and a second color turned on in response to different connections).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Diaz into the invention was of Cannon

Art Unit: 2617

in order to enable the user to confirm whether a connection has been completely established upon operation (Diaz; column 3 lines 6-12).

Consider **claim 40**. Cannon discloses an apparatus for controlling connection between a plurality of telephone devices and a hands free device (paragraph 22, read as a wireless multi-user hands-free gateway capable of wireless operation with any of a plurality of wireless phones), the apparatus comprising:

a device operable to associate indications with an identifiable telephone device; and an output device operable to output said indications from said hand free device in response to said identifiable device being selected for connection to said hands free device (paragraph 46, read as it is preferred that there be a clear indication presented to the wireless multi-user hands-free unit of which in-range user is the driver such that the wireless multi-user hands-free gateway 100 will properly provide hands-free capabilities to the desired wireless phone 120).

Although, Cannon discloses the claimed invention, Cannon does not explicitly teach individual indicia.

However, Diaz et al. (hereinafter Diaz) teach individual indicia (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, read as a first color and a second color turned on in response to different connections).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Diaz into the invention was of Cannon in order to enable the user to confirm whether a connection has been completely established upon operation (Diaz; column 3 lines 6-12).

Consider **claim 2 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon and Diaz disclose the method further comprising outputting said indicia in response to a command for selecting said first

device for connection to said second device (Cannon; paragraphs 40 and 46, Diaz; (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31).

Consider **claim 3 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon discloses the method further comprising storing the device identity linked with connection parameters for said first device and with control data for outputting the associated indicia of said first device (paragraphs 40 and 46, storing is read as training).

Consider **claim 4 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon discloses the method further comprising changing from outputting of a first device associated with a first indication to outputting a second indication with a second device in response to an input change signal; and establishing a selection for connection of said second device (Cannon; paragraphs 40 and 46, read as a control panel including two push-buttons (in-put change signal) corresponding to two possible drivers of the vehicle in which it is installed. Each push-button is initially trained to correspond to a particular driver's ID and any suitable indication of the driver's wireless phone with respect to other wireless phones in an established piconet network may be utilized).

Although, Cannon discloses the claimed invention, Cannon does not explicitly teach first and second indicia.

However, Diaz et al. (hereinafter Diaz) teach individual indicia (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, read as a first color and a second color turned on in response to different connections).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Diaz into the invention was of Cannon in order to enable the user to confirm whether a connection has been completely established upon operation (Diaz; column 3 lines 6-12).

Consider **claim 5 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon and Diaz disclose the method further comprising changing from selecting a first connectable device and outputting the indicia of said first device to selecting a second connectable device and outputting the indicia of said second device in response to receiving an input change signal (paragraph 40 and 46, Diaz; (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31)).

Consider **claim 6 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon discloses the method further comprising the step of performing a re-connection process for connecting a selected first device to a second device.

Consider **claim 7 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon discloses the method further comprising defining in a pairing process connectability parameters for connecting a first device to a second device (Cannon; paragraph 31).

Consider **claims 8 and 9 as applied to claim 1**. The combination of Cannon and Diaz disclose the method wherein connectability of a plurality of devices is defined and associated individual indicia as well as individual connection parameters are stored linked with the device identity of each of said devices and wherein indicia of a first device to be output from a second device is stored in the first device and is communicated to the second device (Cannon; paragraphs 40 and 46, Diaz; (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, it is noted that stored is read as trained)).

Consider **claim 10 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon discloses the method further comprising storing a predetermined order of priority for selecting for connection each of a plurality of connectable devices (paragraphs 33 and 37).

Consider **claim 19 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon discloses the method further comprising storing a combination of a first predetermined order of priority for selecting for connection a plurality of connectable devices, wherein said first order of priority is based on an individual fixed priority that is associated with a first number of connectable devices, and a second predetermined order of priority for selecting for connection each of a plurality of connectable devices, wherein said second order of priority is based on a last used first to use scheme for a second number of connectable devices.

Consider **claim 20 and as applied to claim 1**. The combination of Cannon and Diaz disclose the method wherein the indicia associated with a device is selectable in response to a predetermined sequence of input control signals.

Consider **claim 21 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon and Diaz disclose the method wherein the indicia are associated with a fixed position in a predetermined order of priority and the fixed position is associated with a predetermined device (paragraphs 33, 37, 40, 46).

Consider **claim 22 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon and Diaz disclose the method wherein the indicia is visible and is output by means of a visible signal output device (Cannon; 40 and 46, Diaz; abstract, column 3 lines 16-31).

Consider **claim 23 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon and Diaz discloses the method wherein the indicia are a colour that is output by means of a colour emitting device Diaz; abstract, column 3 lines 16-31).

Consider claim 24 and as applied to claim 1. Cannon and Diaz discloses the method wherein the indicia are a visible symbol that is output by means of a display Diaz; abstract, column 3 lines 16-31).

Consider claims 25-27 and as applied to claim 1. The combination of Cannon and Diaz disclose the indicia is a combination of characters that is output by means of a display, is audible and is output by means of a sound emitting device, or is tactile and is output by means of a sensory detectable stimulation device (Cannon; paragraphs 46 and 47, Diaz; abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, read as it is preferred that there be a clear indication, which can be any suitable indication).

Consider claims 28-32 and as applied to claim 1. Cannon and Diaz discloses the method wherein the devices are connected by means of a wireless communication link, a short range radio communication link, or a wired communication link, and wherein one of said devices is an accessory to which a plurality of other devices is connectable, and also wherein the apparatus further comprising a data storage adapted for storing the device identity linked with connection parameters for said device and with control data for outputting the associated indicia of said device (Cannon; paragraphs 31).

Consider claim 34 and as applied to claim 33. Cannon discloses the apparatus further comprising a data storage adapted for storing the device identity linked with connection parameters for said device and with control data for outputting the associated indicia of said device (paragraphs 40 and 46, storing is read as training).

Consider **claim 35 and as applied to claim 34**. Cannon discloses the apparatus further being adapted to changing from selecting for connection a first connectable device and outputting the indication of said first device to selecting for connection a second connectable device and outputting the indication of said second device in response to receiving an input change signal from a signal input switch (Cannon; paragraphs 40 and 46, read as a control panel including two push-buttons (in-put change signal) corresponding to two possible drivers of the vehicle in which it is installed. Each push-button is initially trained to correspond to a particular driver's ID and any suitable indication of the driver's wireless phone with respect to other wireless phones in an established piconet network may be utilized).

Although, Cannon discloses the claimed invention, Cannon does not explicitly teach first and second indicia.

However, Diaz et al. (hereinafter Diaz) teach individual indicia (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, read as a first color and a second color turned on in response to different connections).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Diaz into the invention was of Cannon in order to enable the user to confirm whether a connection has been completely established upon operation (Diaz; column 3 lines 6-12).

Consider **claim 36 and as applied to claim 35**. Cannon discloses the apparatus further being adapted to performing a re-connection process for connecting a selected first device to a second device (Cannon; paragraph 40, read as the driver selects the correct button before an incoming call is received by their wireless phone. In other words, if push-button 204 was

pressed so that a second user was last operating the wireless multi-user hands-free gateway, the driver can press push-button 202 to reconnect to the gateway).

Consider **claim 39 and as applied to claim 38**. Cannon and Diaz disclose the method wherein the indicia is coloured light (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31).

Consider **claim 41 and as applied to claim 40**. Cannon discloses the apparatus wherein the indicia is coloured light output by means of a light emitting diode (LED) (abstract, column 3 lines 16-31).

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Cannon et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0032460 A1)** in view of **Diaz et al. (US Patent 6,675,006 B1)**, and further in view of **Kinnunen (US PGPUB 2002/0173347 A1)**.

Consider **claim 11 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon and Diaz disclose the method further comprising storing a predetermined order of priority for selecting for connection each of a plurality of connectable devices (Cannon paragraphs 33 and 37), except wherein said order of priority is based on a last selected first to use scheme.

However, Kinnunen teaches wherein said order of priority is based on a last selected first to use scheme (paragraphs 33, 37, and 38, read as the hands-free device now considers the daughter's mobile telephone to be the last user rather than the mother's mobile telephone. In addition, the hands-free device will be connected to the mobile telephone of the user who is designated the last user).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Kinnunen into the inventions of Cannon and Diaz so that the user to last use the hands-free device (possibly the most frequent user) and does not have to be inconvenienced by entering the required information to user the hands-free device (Kinnunen; paragraph 38).

Consider **claim 12 and as applied to claim 11**. The combination of Cannon, Diaz, and Kinnunen disclose the method wherein a record of the last time selected is stored linked to each of said connectable device identities.

Consider **claim 13 and as applied to claim 12**. Cannon Diaz, and Kinnunen disclose the method further comprising, after an interrupted connection, outputting the indicia of the device that was last selected and selecting for connection to said last selected device (Cannon; paragraphs 40 and 46, Diaz; abstract, column 3 lines 16-31).

Consider **claim 14 and as applied to claim 13**. Cannon, Diaz, and Kinnuen disclose the method further comprising, in response to receiving an input change signal, outputting the indicia associated with the next device in a falling order of last selected and selecting for connection to said next device (Cannon; paragraphs 40 and 46, Diaz; abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, Kinnunen; paragraphs 17, 27, 35, 37, 38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Kinnunen into the inventions of Cannon and Diaz so that the user to last use the hands-free device (possibly the most frequent

Art Unit: 2617

user) and does not have to be inconvenienced by entering the required information to user the hands-free device (Kinnunen; paragraph 38).

Consider **claim 15 and as applied to claim 1**. Cannon and Diaz disclose the method further comprising storing a predetermined order of priority for selecting for connection each of a plurality of connectable devices (Cannon paragraphs 33 and 37), except wherein said order of priority is based on an individual fixed priority that is associated with each of said connectable devices.

However, Kinnunen teaches wherein said order of priority is based on an individual fixed priority that is associated with each of said connectable devices (paragraphs 33, 37, 35, and 38, read as the junction box 100 (figure 1) pages user / mobile telephones in a particular order based on the various data that was stored. The junction box 100 first pages a default user and then the last user / mobile telephone that was designated the last user).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Kinnunen into the inventions of Cannon and Diaz so that the default user can use the hands-free device (possibly the most frequent user) and does not have to be inconvenienced by entering the required information to user the hands-free device (Kinnunen; paragraph 38).

Cannon discloses the method further comprising storing a predetermined order of priority for selecting for connection each of a plurality of connectable devices, wherein said order of priority is based on an individual fixed priority that is associated with each of said connectable devices.

Consider **claim 16 and as applied to claim 15**. The combination of Cannon, Diaz, and Kinnunen disclose the method wherein a record of a fixed priority is stored linked to each of said connectable device identities.

Consider **claim 17 and as applied to claim 16**. Cannon, Diaz, and Kinnunen disclose the method further comprising, after an interrupted connection, outputting the indicia of the device that has the highest fixed priority and selecting for connection to said highest priority device (Cannon; paragraphs 40 and 46, Diaz; abstract, column 3 lines 16-31).

Consider **claim 18 and as applied to claim 17**. Cannon, Diaz, and Kinnuen disclose the method further comprising, in response to receiving an input change signal, outputting the indicia associated with the next device in a falling order of fixed priority and selecting for connection to said next device (Cannon; paragraphs 40 and 46, Diaz; abstract, column 3 lines 16-31, Kinnunen; paragraphs 17, 27, 35, 37, 38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Kinnunen into the inventions of Cannon and Diaz so that the default user can use the hands-free device (possibly the most frequent user) and does not have to be inconvenienced by entering the required information to user the hands-free device (Kinnunen; paragraph 38).

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Brandt whose telephone number is (571) 270-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30a.m. to 5p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,791
Art Unit: 2617

Page 17



Christopher M. Brandt

C.M.B./cmb

November 29, 2006



Nick Corsaro

NICK CORSARO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600